

# AN OPEN IMAGE THEOREM FOR A GENERAL CLASS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

CHRIS HALL

ABSTRACT. Let  $K$  be a number field and  $A/K$  be a polarized abelian variety with absolutely trivial endomorphism ring. We show that if the Néron model of  $A/K$  has at least one fiber with potential toric dimension one, then for almost all rational primes  $\ell$ , the Galois group of the splitting field of the  $\ell$ -torsion of  $A$  is  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a number field and  $A/K$  a polarized abelian  $g$ -fold with trivial  $\overline{K}$ -endomorphism ring. For each rational prime  $\ell$ , let  $A_\ell$  denote the  $\ell$ -torsion of  $A$  and  $G_\ell$  the Galois group of the splitting-field extension  $K(A_\ell)/K$ . If  $g = 1$ , then  $A/K$  is an elliptic curve and a well-known theorem of Serre asserts that  $G_\ell$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{GSp}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  for all sufficiently large  $\ell$  [S2, Theorem 2]. In a series of lectures and letters Serre (cf. [S4, Corollaire au Théorème 3]) later showed how to extend the result to the case when  $g$  is odd, 2, or 6: if  $\ell$  is sufficiently large, then  $G_\ell \simeq \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ . However, for general  $g$  it is an open problem to show that  $G_\ell$  is as big as possible for almost all  $\ell$ . In this paper we show that this is true when we assume an additional hypothesis on the reduction of  $A$ , and our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $A/K$  satisfies the following property:*

there is a finite extension  $L/K$  so that the Néron model of  $A/L$  over  
(T) : the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_L$  has a semistable fiber with toric-dimension one.

*If  $\ell$  is sufficiently large with respect to  $A$  and  $K$ , then  $G_\ell \simeq \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ .*

The rest of this paper is devoted to a proof of the theorem. In section 2 we prove the theorem modulo a result of Serre on the rigidity of inertial tori, and in section 3 we prove the necessary rigidity result.

An example due to Mumford shows that one cannot remove hypothesis (T) from the statement of our theorem [M, Section 4]. More precisely, Mumford constructed

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G10,14K15.

an abelian 4-fold with absolutely trivial endomorphism ring such that  $G_\ell$  does not contain  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  for infinitely many  $\ell$ . His 4-fold does not satisfy hypothesis (T) because it has potentially good reduction everywhere; for infinitely many  $\ell$  there are no non-trivial unipotent elements  $u \in G_\ell$  satisfying  $(u-1)^2 = 0$ , while potential positive-dimension toric reduction would give rise to such elements. More importantly, Mumford's example fails to satisfy the conclusion of the theorem because its so-called Mumford-Tate group is strictly smaller than for those 4-folds addressed in the theorem (cf. [S3, annotation 4]). However, the groups  $G_\ell$  are as big as possible for almost all  $\ell$  once one takes into consideration the upper bound imposed by the Mumford-Tate group, and for a general polarized abelian  $g$ -fold  $A/K$  it is conjectured that  $G_\ell$  is almost always as big as possible given the constraints imposed by the endomorphism ring and Mumford-Tate group.

While Mumford's example shows that an arbitrary abelian  $g$ -fold will not satisfy the hypothesis (nor the conclusion) of the theorem, one can ask for the likelihood that a "random"  $A/K$  will have absolutely trivial endomorphism ring and satisfy hypothesis (T). For  $g = 1$ , a necessary and sufficient condition is that  $j$ -invariant does not lie in the ring of integers of  $K$ . For  $g > 1$ , if  $n = 2g + 2$  or  $2g + 1$  and  $f(x)$  is a degree- $n$  polynomial in  $K[x]$  whose splitting field has Galois group  $S_n$ , then Zarhin showed that the endomorphism ring of the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve  $y^2 = f(x)$  is absolutely trivial [Z, Theorem 2.1]. If moreover there is a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$  such that the reduction of  $f(x)$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  (is defined and) has  $n - 1$  distinct zeros (over an algebraic closure), one of which is a double zero, then the Jacobian satisfies (T).

In an appendix to this paper E. Kowalski shows that most monic polynomials in  $K[x]$  with integral coefficients satisfy both these properties, thus for most hyperelliptic curves over  $K$  the Jacobian satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Of course, for  $g > 2$  most polarized abelian  $g$ -folds  $A/K$  do not arise as the Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves, so it is an open problem to determine how often the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied in general.

**1.1. Notation.** We use the notation  $\ell \gg_X 0$  to mean that there is a constant  $\ell_0(X)$  which depends on the object  $X$  and  $\ell$  satisfies  $\ell \geq \ell_0(X)$ .

## 2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Up to replacing  $K$  by a finite extension  $L/K$  we may assume  $A/K$  satisfies (T) for  $L = K$ . We fix an odd prime  $\ell$  which is relatively prime to the polarization degree of  $A$ . We regard  $V = A_\ell$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$  and write  $\langle, \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mu_\ell$  for the Weil pairing; the pairing exists because  $A$  is polarized and it is non-degenerate because  $\ell$  is prime to the polarization degree. If  $W \leq V$  is a subspace, then we write  $W^\perp$  for the complement of  $W$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . We identify  $\Gamma = \mathrm{GSp}(V) \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$  with the similitude subgroup of  $\langle, \rangle$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \leq \Gamma$  with the isometry group.

There is short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(V) \xrightarrow{m} (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^\times \rightarrow 1$$

such that, for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y \in V$ , we have  $\langle \gamma x, \gamma y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^{m(\gamma)}$ . The action of  $G = G_\ell$  is compatible with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , so there is an embedding  $G \rightarrow \Gamma$  and the theorem asserts it is an isomorphism if  $\ell \gg_A 0$ . To prove the theorem we will construct a subgroup  $R \leq G$  which we can show satisfies  $R = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  for  $\ell \gg_A 0$ , from which it will follow easily that  $G = \Gamma$  for  $\ell \gg_{A,K} 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\ell \gg_A 0$ , then  $V$  is an irreducible  $G$ -module.*

*Proof.* If  $W \leq V$  is a  $G$ -submodule, then the isogeny  $A \rightarrow B = A/W$  is defined over  $K$ . If  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : A \rightarrow B$  were isogenies for distinct  $\ell$ , then  $\psi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2^t$  would be an endomorphism outside of  $\mathbb{Z}$  because  $\deg(\psi) \notin \deg(\mathbb{Z})$ , so distinct  $\ell$  give rise to distinct elements of the  $K$ -isogeny class of  $A$ . However, Faltings' theorem implies there can only be finitely many abelian varieties in the  $K$ -isogeny class of  $A$  (cf. [D, Corollaire 2.8]), so there are only finitely many  $\ell$  such that  $V$  is reducible.  $\square$

We say  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a *transvection* if it is unipotent and  $V^{\gamma=1}$  has codimension one. The  $\Gamma$ -conjugate of a transvection is a transvection, and we write  $R \leq G$  for the normal subgroup generated by the subset of transvections in  $G$ . The proof of the following lemma shows that condition (T) is sufficient, but not necessary, to show that  $R$  is non-trivial for almost all  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\ell \gg_A 0$ , then  $R$  is non-trivial.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  over which  $A$  has toric-dimension one;  $\mathfrak{p}$  exists because  $A$  satisfies (T) for  $L = K$ . Then the monodromy about  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a transvection provided  $\ell$  does not divide the order of the component group of the Néron model of  $A$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$  (cf. 2.1, 2.5 and 3.5 of [G]).  $\square$

Suppose  $R$  is non-trivial. Let  $W \leq V$  be a non-trivial irreducible  $R$ -submodule and  $H \leq G$  be the stabilizer of  $W$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $V$  is an irreducible  $G$ -module, then  $W \cap W^\perp = W^R = 0$  and  $V = \bigoplus_{G/H} gW$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from (the proof of) lemma 3.2 of [H] because  $(gW)^\perp = g(W^\perp)$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $V$  is an irreducible  $G$ -module, and let  $R_g \leq R$  be the subgroup generated by the transvections which act non-trivially on  $gW$ . The image of  $R_g = gR_1g^{-1}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(gW)$  is non-trivial, irreducible, and generated by transvections, so is all of  $\mathrm{Sp}(gW)$  by [ZS, Main Theorem].

**Lemma 5.** *If  $g_1H \neq g_2H$  as cosets, then the commutator  $[R_{g_1}, R_{g_2}]$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Note,  $g_1W = g_2W$  if and only if  $g_1H = g_2H$ . If  $\gamma \in R$  is a transvection which acts non-trivially on  $g_1W$ , then  $(\gamma - 1)V \leq g_1W$ . Thus if  $g_1W \neq g_2W$ , then  $(\gamma - 1)g_2W$  lies in  $g_1W \cap g_2W = 0$ , so  $R_{g_1}$  acts trivially on  $g_2W$ . In particular, if  $\gamma_1 \in R_{g_1}$ ,  $\gamma_2 \in R_{g_2}$  are transvections and  $g_1W \neq g_2W$ , then for each  $gW$ , at least one of  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  acts trivially on  $gW$ , so the restrictions of  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  to  $gW$  commute (for every coset  $gH$ ), hence they commute on all of  $V$ .  $\square$

The lemma implies  $R_g = \mathrm{Sp}(gW)$  for all  $gH$  and  $R$  is the central product  $\prod_{G/H} R_g$ . Therefore, if we write  $n = [V : W]$ , then  $N_\Gamma(R)$  is isomorphic to the wreath product  $\mathrm{GSp}(W) \wr S_n$  and  $G \leq N_\Gamma(R)$ . The next step is to show that  $n = 1$ .

Let  $N_0 \leq N_\Gamma(R)$  be the kernel of  $N_\Gamma(R) \rightarrow S_n$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\pi$  be a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $e$  be the ramification index of  $\pi$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\ell > en + 1$  and  $I \leq G$  is the inertia subgroup of a prime in  $K(A_\ell)$  over  $\pi$ , then the image of  $I$  in  $S_n$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* If  $\ell > n$ , then  $S_n$  has no elements of order  $\ell$ , so the image in  $S_n$  of the  $\ell$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I$  must be trivial. In particular, if  $\pi$  does not lie over  $\ell$ , then  $I$  is an  $\ell$ -group because it is trivial or generated by a unipotent element, so the image of  $I$  in  $S_n$  is trivial. Therefore we may suppose  $\pi$  lies over  $\ell$ . Let  $C \leq I$  be a complement of the  $\ell$ -Sylow subgroup; it is a cyclic subgroup of order prime to  $\ell$ . By section 1.13 of [S1] (following [R]), there is a finite extension  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d}/\mathbb{F}_\ell$  and a surjective homomorphism  $T = \mathbb{F}_{\ell^d}^\times \rightarrow C$  so that the representation  $T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  has amplitude at most  $e$ ; see section 3 for the definition of amplitude. The kernel of  $T \rightarrow S_n$  has index at most  $n$  in  $T$  and it commutes with  $Z(N_0)$  (because it lies in  $N_0$ ), so lemma 9 of section 3 implies all of  $T$  commutes with  $Z(N_0)$  because  $\ell > en + 1$ . In particular, the centralizer of  $Z(N_0)$  in  $N_\Gamma(R)$  is  $N_0$ , so  $C$ , the image of  $T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ , and  $I$  lie in  $N_0$ .  $\square$

The lemma implies that the fixed field of the kernel of  $G \rightarrow S_n$  is unramified and has uniformly bounded degree over  $K$ . By a theorem of Hermite, there are only finitely many such extensions, so up to replacing  $K$  by a finite extension we may assume that the image of  $G$  in  $S_n$  is trivial (for all  $\ell \gg_A 0$ ). Therefore  $G \leq N_0 = \prod_{G/H} \mathrm{GSp}(gW)$  and hence  $n = 1$  because  $G$  acts irreducibly, so  $W = V$  and  $R = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ . Once we know that  $R$  is big, the following lemma completes the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 7.** *If  $R = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  and  $\ell \gg_K 0$ , then  $G = \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\ell \gg_K 0$ , then  $K$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$  are disjoint extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand, if  $R = \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ , then  $G/R$  is the Galois group of  $K(\mu_\ell)/K$ , so must be all of  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^\times$  for  $\ell \gg_K 0$ .  $\square$

REMARK: Most of the above carries through if we replace  $K$  by a global field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . One key difference is that  $G_\ell$  is no longer equal to  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$

for all  $\ell \gg_A 0$ , but it does contain  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  for all  $\ell \gg_A 0$ . Another difference is that the argument in lemma 6 is made simpler by the fact that there are no inertial tori to contend with for  $\ell \neq p$ .

### 3. RIGIDITY OF TORI

Let  $T$  be the multiplicative group of a finite extension  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d}/\mathbb{F}_\ell$ . We regard  $T$  as the set of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -points of the algebraic torus  $\underline{T}/\mathbb{F}_\ell$  given by the Weil restriction of scalars of the split one-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d}$ . The  $d$  fundamental characters  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d : \underline{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  corresponding to the  $d$  embeddings  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  form a basis for the character group  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}(\underline{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . We define the amplitude of a character  $\chi = \prod_i \psi_i^{e_i}$  as  $\max_i(e_i)$ , and we say  $\chi$  is  $\ell$ -restricted if  $0 \leq e_i \leq \ell - 1$  for all  $i$  and  $e_i < \ell - 1$  for some  $i$  (cf. [S1, section 1.7] and [S4, annotation 5]).

Let  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_\ell$  be a finite extension and  $V/\mathbb{F}_\lambda$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. We say a representation  $\rho : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$  of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda$  is  $\ell$ -restricted if all its characters are  $\ell$ -restricted. Every representation  $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  extends uniquely to an  $\ell$ -restricted representation  $\rho : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$ , and we define the amplitude of  $\rho$  as the maximum of the amplitudes of its characters.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be a semisimple element and  $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be a representation of amplitude  $e < \ell - 1$ . If  $\rho(T)$  commutes with  $s$ , then  $\rho(\underline{T})$  commutes with  $s$  in  $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Up to a base change by a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda$ , we may assume that  $s$  is diagonalizable in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Thus there is a decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_j V_j$  so that  $s$  acts on  $V_j$  via an element  $s_j \in Z(\mathrm{GL}(V_j))$  and  $T$  preserves the decomposition because it commutes with  $s$ . The amplitude of the restriction  $\rho_j : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_j)$  is at most  $e$ . If we write  $\rho_j : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V_j)$  for the  $\ell$ -restricted representation corresponding to  $\rho_j$ , then  $\rho_j(\underline{T})$  commutes with  $s_j$  because  $s_j$  is a scalar. In particular, the composition of the product representation  $\underline{T} \rightarrow \prod_j \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V_j)$  with the obvious embedding  $\prod_j \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V_j) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$  is an  $\ell$ -restricted representation extending  $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ , so it must be  $\rho : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$  and hence  $\rho(\underline{T})$  commutes with  $s$ .  $\square$

The power of the previous lemma is that it allows us to show that representations  $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  are ‘rigid’ if they have sufficiently small amplitude (cf. [S4]).

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be a semisimple element and  $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be a representation of amplitude  $e$ . If  $S \leq T$  is a subgroup such that  $\rho(S)$  commutes with  $s$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  and  $e \cdot [T : S] < \ell - 1$ , then  $\rho(T)$  commutes with  $s$  in  $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c = [T : S]$  and  $\rho^c : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  denote the composition of  $\rho$  with the  $c$ th-power-map  $c : T \rightarrow T$ . By assumption  $\rho^c$  has amplitude  $e \cdot c < \ell - 1$ , hence the corresponding  $\ell$ -restricted representation  $\rho^c : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$  is the composition of the  $\ell$ -restricted representation  $\rho : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$  with the  $c$ th-power-map  $c : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{T}$ .

Moreover,  $\rho^c(T) \leq \rho(S)$  commutes with  $s$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ , so by the previous lemma  $\rho^c(\underline{T})$  commutes with  $s$  in  $\underline{\mathrm{GL}}(V)$ . In particular,  $c : \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{T}$  is surjective because  $0 < c < \ell - 1$ , hence  $\rho(\underline{T})$  and a foriori  $\rho(T)$  commute with  $s$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge helpful conversations with Serre, and in particular, for clarifications with regard to initial tori. We also acknowledge helpful conversations with N.M. Katz and E. Kowalski.

#### REFERENCES

- [D] P. Deligne, “Preuve des conjectures de Tate et de Shafarevitch (d’après G. Faltings),” Seminar Bourbaki, Vol. 1983/84, *Astérisque* No. 121-122 (1985), 25–41.
- [G] A. Grothendieck, “Modèles de Néron et monodromie”, SGA 7 Part I, exposé IX, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288, 1972.
- [H] C. Hall, “Big symplectic or orthogonal monodromy modulo  $\ell$ ,” *Duke Math. Journal* 141 (2008), 179–203.
- [M] D. Mumford, “A note of Shimura’s paper “Discontinuous groups and abelian varieties”,” *Math. Ann.* 181 (1969), 345–351.
- [R] M. Raynaud, “Schémas en groupes de type  $(p, \dots, p)$ ,” *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 102 (1974), 241–280.
- [S1] J-P Serre, “Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques,” *Invent. Math.* 15 (1972), no. 4, 259–331.
- [S2] J-P Serre, *Abelian  $\ell$ -adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*, 2nd ed., Adv. Book Classics, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, Calif., 1989.
- [S3] J-P Serre, letter to Daniel Bertrand, 8/6/1984, *Collected Papers*, Vol. 4.
- [S4] J-P Serre, Lettre à Marie-France Vignéras du 10/2/1986, *Collected Papers*, Vol. 4.
- [ZS] A.E. Zaleskiĭ, V.N. Serežkin, “Linear groups generated by transvections,” (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 40 (1976), no. 1, 26–49, 221; translation in *Math. USSR Izvestija*, Vol. 10 (1976), no. 1, 25–46.
- [Z] Y.G. Zarhin, “Hyperelliptic Jacobians without complex multiplication,” *Math. Res. Lett.* 7 (2000), no. 1, 123–132.

#### APPENDIX: MOST HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES HAVE BIG MONODROMY

Emmanuel Kowalski<sup>1</sup>

Let  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field and  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  its ring of integers. Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_k[X]$  be a monic squarefree polynomial of degree  $n = 2g + 2$  or  $2g + 1$  for some integer  $g \geq 1$ , and let  $C_f/k$  be the (smooth, projective) hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g$  with affine equation

$$C_f : y^2 = f(x),$$

and  $J_f$  its jacobian.

---

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zürich - DMATH, kowalski@math.ethz.ch

In the previous text, C. Hall has shown that the image of the Galois representation

$$\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(J_f[\ell](\bar{k})) \simeq \mathbf{F}_\ell^{2g}$$

on the  $\ell$ -torsion points of  $J_f$  is as big as possible for almost all primes  $\ell$ , if the following two (sufficient) conditions hold:

- (1) the endomorphism ring of  $J_f$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (2) for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_k$ , the fiber over  $\mathfrak{p}$  of the Néron model of  $C_f$  is a smooth curve except for a single ordinary double point.

These conditions can be translated concretely in terms of the polynomial  $f$ , and are implied by:

- (1') the Galois group of the splitting field of  $f$  is the full symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (this is due to a result of Zarhin [Z], which shows that this condition implies (1));
- (2') for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_k$ ,  $f$  factors in  $\mathbf{F}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{Z}_k/\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}_k$  as  $f = f_1 f_2$  where  $f_i \in \mathbf{F}_\mathfrak{p}[X]$  are relatively prime polynomials such that  $f_1 = (X - \alpha)^2$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_\mathfrak{p}$  and  $f_2$  is squarefree of degree  $n - 2$ ; indeed, this implies (2).

In this note, we show that, in some sense, “most” polynomials  $f$  satisfy these two conditions, hence “most” jacobians of hyperelliptic curves have maximal monodromy modulo all but finitely many primes (which may, a priori, depend on the polynomial, of course!).

More precisely, for  $k$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  as above, let us denote

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}_k[X] \mid f \text{ is monic of degree } n\},$$

and let the height be defined on  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by

$$H(a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^n) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} H(a_i),$$

where  $N$  is the norm from  $k$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $H$  is any reasonable height function on  $k$ , e.g., choose a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ , where  $d = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$ , and let

$$H(\alpha_1\omega_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d\omega_d) = \max |\alpha_i|,$$

for all  $(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n(T)$  denote the finite set

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_n(T) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid H(f) \leq T\}.$$

We have  $|\mathcal{F}_n(T)| = N_k(T)^n$ , where

$$N_k(T) = |\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_k \mid H(x) \leq T\}| \asymp T^d, \text{ where } d = [k : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Say that  $f$  has *big monodromy* if the Galois group of its splitting field is  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . We will show:

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $k$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  be as above. Then*

$|\{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T) \mid f \text{ does not have big monodromy}\}| \ll N_k(T)^{n-1/2}(\log N_k(T))$ ,  
for all  $T \geq 2$ , where the implied constant depends on  $k$  and  $n$ .

Say that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  has *ordinary ramification* if it satisfies condition (2') above.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $k$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  be as above, and assume  $n \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $c > 0$ , depending on  $n$  and  $k$ , such that we have*

$$|\{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T) \mid f \text{ does not have ordinary ramification}\}| \ll \frac{N_k(T)^n}{(\log N_k(T))^c}$$

for  $T \geq 3$ , where the implied constant depends on  $k$  and  $n$ .

Finally, say that  $J_f$  has *big monodromy* if the image of  $\rho_{f,\ell}$  is as big as possible for almost all primes  $\ell$ .

**Corollary 12.** *Assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Then we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_n(T)|} |\{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T) \mid J_f \text{ does not have big monodromy}\}| = 0.$$

*Remark 13.* Quantitatively, we have proved that the rate of decay of this probability is at least a small power of power of logarithm, because of Proposition 11. With more work, one should be able to get  $c$  equal or very close to 1, but it seems hard to do better with the current ideas (the problem being in part that we must avoid  $f$  for which the discriminant is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ , which may well exist, and sieve can not detect them better than it does discriminants which generate prime ideals, the density of which could be expected to be about  $(\log N_k(T))^{-1}$ ).

For both propositions, in the language of [K1], we consider a sieve with data

$$(\mathcal{F}_n, \{\text{prime ideals in } \mathbb{Z}_k\}, \{\text{reduction modulo } \mathfrak{p}\}), \quad (\mathcal{F}_n(T), \text{counting measure}),$$

and we claim that the ‘‘large sieve constant’’  $\Delta$  for the sifting range

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_k \mid N\mathfrak{p} \leq L\}$$

satisfies

$$\Delta \ll N_k(T)^n + L^{2n},$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $k$ . Indeed, this follows from the work of Huxley [Hu], by combining in an obvious manner his Theorem 2 (which is the case  $n = 1$ ,  $k$  arbitrary) with his Theorem 1 (which is the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n$  arbitrary).

Concretely, this implies that for arbitrary subsets  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in the image of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  under reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  — the latter is simply the set of monic polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ , and has cardinality  $(N\mathfrak{p})^n$  — we have

$$|\{f \in \mathcal{F}(T) \mid f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } N\mathfrak{p} \leq L\}| \ll (N_k(T)^n + L^{2n}) \left( \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq L} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{a}} \frac{|\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}|}{(N\mathfrak{p})^n - |\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}|} \right)^{-1},$$

where the sum is over squarefree ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  with norm at most  $L$ , and therefore also

$$(4.3) \quad |\{f \in \mathcal{F}(T) \mid f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } N\mathfrak{p} \leq L\}| \ll (N_k(T)^n + L^{2n}) \left( \sum_{N\mathfrak{p} \leq L} \frac{|\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}|}{(N\mathfrak{p})^n} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 10 is a result of S.D. Cohen [C]; it is also a simple application of the methods of Gallagher [G] (one only needs (4.3) here), the basic idea being that elements of the Galois group of the splitting field of a polynomial  $f$  are detected using the factorization of  $f$  modulo prime ideals. We recall that the first quantitative result of this type (for  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ ) is due to van der Waerden [vdW], whose weaker result would be sufficient here (though the proof is not simpler than Gallagher's).

*Proof of Proposition 11.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_k$  be a prime ideal, and let  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the set of polynomials  $f \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$  which are monic of degree  $n$  and factor as described in Condition (2'). We claim that, for some constant  $c > 0$ ,  $c \leq 1$  (depending on  $k$  and  $n$ ), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{|\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}|}{(N\mathfrak{p})^n} \geq \frac{c}{N\mathfrak{p}}$$

for all prime ideals with norm  $N\mathfrak{p} \geq P_0$ , for some  $P_0$  depending on  $k$  and  $n$ .

Indeed, for  $n \geq 4$ , we have clearly

$$|\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}| \geq (N\mathfrak{p}) \times |\{f \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[X] \mid \deg(f) = n - 2, f \text{ monic irreducible}\}|;$$

for  $n = 2$ , this holds with the convention that 1 is irreducible of degree 0, and for  $n = 3$ , we must subtract 1 from the second term on the right. If  $n = 2$ , we are done, otherwise it is well-known that

$$|\{f \in \mathbf{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) = n - 2, f \text{ monic irreducible}\}| \sim \frac{q^{n-2}}{n-2}$$

as  $q \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence the lower bound (4.4) follows by combining these two facts (showing we can take for  $c$  any constant  $< (n-2)^{-1}$  if  $P_0$  is chosen large enough; using more complicated factorizations of the squarefree factor of degree  $n-2$ , one could get  $c$  arbitrarily close to 1).

Now we apply (4.3) with this choice of subsets for  $\mathfrak{p}$  with norm  $> P_0$ , and with  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \emptyset$  for other  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We take  $L = N_k(T)^{d/2}$ , assuming that  $L > P_0$ , i.e., that  $T$  is large enough. Since, if  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T)$  does not have ordinary ramification, we have by definition  $f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it follows by simple computations that

$$|\{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T) \mid f \text{ does not have ordinary ramification}\}| \ll N_k(T)^n H^{-1}$$

where the implied constant depends on  $k$  and

$$H = \sum_{N\mathfrak{a} \leq L}^b c^{\omega(\mathfrak{a})} (N\mathfrak{a})^{-1},$$

where now  $\sum^b$  restricts the sum to squarefree ideals not divisible by a prime ideal of norm  $\leq P_0$ , and where  $\omega(\mathfrak{a})$  is the number of prime ideals dividing  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

It is then a standard fact about sums of multiplicative functions that

$$H \gg (\log L)^c$$

for  $L$  large enough (depending on  $P_0$ ; recall that  $0 < c \leq 1$ ), and this leads to the proposition, since  $L$  and  $N_k(T)$  are comparable in logarithmic scale.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [C] S.D. Cohen: *The distribution of the Galois groups of integral polynomials*, Illinois J. Math. 23 (1979), 135–152.
- [G] P.X. Gallagher: *The large sieve and probabilistic Galois theory*, in Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, Amer. Math. Soc. (1973), 91–101.
- [Hu] M.N. Huxley: *The large sieve inequality for algebraic number fields*, Mathematika 15 (1968) 178–187.
- [K1] E. Kowalski: *The large sieve and its applications: arithmetic geometry, random walks, discrete groups*, Cambridge Univ. Tracts (to appear).
- [vdW] B.L. van der Waerden: *Die Seltenheit der reduziblen Gleichungen und der Gleichungen mit Affekt*, Monath. Math. Phys. 43 (1936), 133–147.
- [Z] Y.G. Zarhin: *Hyperelliptic Jacobians without complex multiplication*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 1, 123–132.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN AT ANN ARBOR

*E-mail address:* hallcj@umich.edu